PAGES 1 - 12
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN, JUDGE

ROANE HOLMAN,)

PLAINTIFF,) NO. C-11-00180 CW

VS.) THURSDAY, MAY 26, 2011

EXPERIAN INFORMATION) OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

SOLUTIONS, INC. AND FINEX)
GROUP,)
DEFENDANTS.)

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFF: ANDERSON OGILVIE & BREWER

600 CALIFORNIA STREET, 18TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94108

BY: ANDREW J. OGILVIE, ESQUIRE MARK F. ANDERSON, ESQUIRE

FOR DEFENDANT JONES DAY

EXPERIAN: 555 SOUTH FLOWER STREET, 50TH FLOOR

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071

BY: MICHAEL G. MORGAN, ESQUIRE

FOR DEFENDANT LAW OFFICES OF CARL A. SUNDHOLM

FINEX GROUP: 750 MENLO AVENUE, SUITE 100

MENLO PARK, CALIFORNIA 94025

BY: CARL A. SUNDHOLM, ESQUIRE

REPORTED BY: DIANE E. SKILLMAN, CSR 4909, RPR, FCRR

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1 THURSDAY, MAY 26, 2011 2:05 P.M. 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 THE CLERK: CALLING C-11-180 HOLMAN VERSUS EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC. ET AL. 4 5 COUNSEL, PLEASE STEP FORWARD AND STATE YOUR 6 APPEARANCES FOR THE RECORD, PLEASE. 7 MR. OGILVIE: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR, ANDREW 8 OGILVIE AND MARK ANDERSON APPEARING FOR HOLMAN, THE PLAINTIFF 9 IN THE CASE. 10 MR. MORGAN: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR, MICHAEL 11 MORGAN, JONES DAY FOR DEFENDANT EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, 12 INC. 13 MR. SUNDHOLM: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR, CARL 14 SUNDHOLM APPEARING FOR FINEX. 15 THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON. 16 THIS IS ON FOR A CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE. YOU 17 GOT THE ORDER ON THE MOTION TO DISMISS? 18 YES? 19 MR. MORGAN: WE DID, YOUR HONOR. 20 MR. SUNDHOLM: WE DID, YOUR HONOR. 21 MR. OGILVIE: WE DID, YOUR HONOR. 22 THE COURT: YOU CAN TAKE ONE OF THOSE SCHEDULING 23 ORDERS; FILL IN THE DATES AS WE SET THEM. ATTACHED TO IT YOU 24 WILL FIND THE ORDER FOR PRETRIAL PREPARATION WHICH WILL TELL 25 YOU THE PAPERWORK THAT WILL NEED TO BE FILED IN ADVANCE OF THE

1 PRETRIAL CONFERENCE. 2 I HAD SOME HOPE OF COORDINATING THIS WITH THE PINTOS 3 CASE, BUT I GUESS THERE'S REALLY -- THAT'S REALLY WAY TOO FAR AHEAD OF IT TO DO US ANY GOOD. YOU MIGHT PERHAPS BE SETTLING 4 5 THAT CASE ANYWAY? MR. OGILVIE: WE ARE TRYING, YOUR HONOR. 6 7 THE COURT: SO, IN TERMS OF ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE 8 RESOLUTION, PLAINTIFF IS AGREEABLE TO PRIVATE MEDIATION AFTER 9 SOME DISCOVERY. DEFENDANT SAYS MEDIATION BUT DOESN'T SAY 10 WHETHER YOU ARE AGREEABLE WITH PRIVATE MEDIATION OR WOULD YOU 11 RATHER HAVE COURT-CONNECTED MEDIATION? 12 MR. MORGAN: WE WOULD BE AGREEABLE TO PRIVATE 13 MEDIATION, YOUR HONOR. 14 THE COURT: OKAY. 15 MR. SUNDHOLM: WE WOULD AS WELL. 16 THE COURT: WE WILL REFER YOU TO PRIVATE MEDIATION 17 AND I WOULD LIKE TO SET A CUTOFF BY WHICH YOU WILL DO THAT. 18 AND YOU CAN CERTAINLY SET IT FOR FAR ENOUGH IN THE FUTURE THAT YOU WILL BE ABLE TO DO SOME, BUT I WOULD ENCOURAGE NOT ALL OF 19 20 THE DISCOVERY BEFORE THAT. 21 SO WHAT DO YOU THINK, SIX MONTHS? 22 MR. OGILVIE: SIX MONTHS SHOULD BE QUITE ADEQUATE. 23 THANK YOU. 24 MR. MORGAN: THAT'S FINE, YOUR HONOR.

THE CLERK: NOVEMBER 28TH, YOUR HONOR.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: SO WE WILL SET NOVEMBER 28TH AS THE DEADLINE FOR PRIVATE MEDIATION. HOPEFULLY YOU WILL BE ABLE TO AGREE UPON A MEDIATOR AND GO AHEAD AND PROBABLY LINE SOMEONE UP NOW FOR A DATE IN THE FUTURE. DEADLINE TO ADD ADDITIONAL PARTIES OR CLAIMS. PLAINTIFF ASKS FOR SEPTEMBER 15TH. THAT'S A LITTLE FAR IN THE FUTURE, BUT I AM ASSUMING THE ONLY THING YOU MIGHT HAVE IN MIND WOULD BE NEW NAMED PLAINTIFFS OR SOMETHING. MR. OGILVIE: THAT'S PROBABLY THE ONLY THING WE WOULD HAVE IN MIND, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: SO IF THERE'S SOMETHING MORE SUBSTANTIVE, YOU SHOULD TRY TO DO IT SOONER BECAUSE YOU WILL THROW OUR WHOLE SCHEDULE OFF IF YOU THROW IN NEW CLAIMS OR NEW DEFENDANTS OR SO ON ON THAT LATE DATE. MR. OGILVIE: I UNDERSTAND. I DON'T THINK THERE WILL BE NEW CLAIMS. THERE COULD WELL BE OTHER ADDITIONAL PLAINTIFFS. THE COURT: THAT CAN HAPPEN ANY TIME. IT SOMETIMES DOES IN A CLASS ACTION. IF THERE'S SOME REASON FOR IT AND YOU NEED TO SUBSTITUTE SOMEBODY IN LATER BECAUSE SOMEBODY FLIES THE COUPE OR WHATEVER, THAT WOULD ALWAYS BE A POSSIBILITY ON MOTION. BUT IN TERMS OF ANYTHING SUBSTANTIVE IN TERMS OF CLAIMS, ADDITIONAL DEFENDANTS, CERTAINLY SEPTEMBER 15TH WOULD

BE THE LATEST WE WOULD WANT TO DO IT AND I WOULD ENCOURAGE YOU

TO DO IT SOONER. 1 2 SO THEN YOU ARE IN A SORT OF DISPUTE ABOUT THE 3 TIMING OF YOUR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS. I GUESS YOU ARE IN 4 AGREEMENT THAT PLAINTIFF COULD MOVE TO CERTIFY IN THE FALL OF 5 THIS YEAR PERHAPS NOTICING A MOTION FOR SOMETIME IN NOVEMBER? MR. MORGAN: YOUR HONOR, RIGHT NOW WE DON'T KNOW THE 6 7 STATUS OF LIKE A SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION, WHETHER ONE WOULD BE 8 FILED --9 THE COURT: I'M TALKING ABOUT CLASS CERT. DID I SAY 10 SUMMARY JUDGMENT? I MEAN TO SAY CLASS CERT. 11 MR. MORGAN: YOU DID, YOUR HONOR. 12 THE COURT: SORRY. CLASS CERT. 13 MR. MORGAN: WE ARE AGREEABLE TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF 14 CLASS CERTIFICATION BRIEFING IN THE FALL. 15 THE COURT: OKAY. SO, SHALL WE PLAN TO HAVE IT 16 NOTICED FOR NOVEMBER 18TH -- I AM SORRY, 17TH WOULD BE THE 17 THURSDAY. NOVEMBER 17TH. 18 MR. OGILVIE: THAT'S FINE. 19 MR. MORGAN: THAT'S FINE. 20 THE COURT: FILE IT FIVE WEEKS BEFOREHAND. 21 YOU ALL SAY WHAT YOU HAVE TO SAY IN OPPOSITION. 22 DON'T GIVE ME A SIMULTANEOUS MOTION NOT TO CERTIFY OR LATER 23 MOTION TO DECERTIFY. SAY WHAT YOU HAVE TO SAY IN YOUR OPPOSITION, PREFERABLY JOINTLY. I WOULD HOPE YOU WOULD BE ABLE 24 25 TO FILE A JOINT BRIEF.

MR. SUNDHOLM: I WOULD THINK SO. 1 2 MR. MORGAN: RIGHT. 3 THE COURT: AND THEN I DON'T KNOW IF DEFENDANT GAVE ALTERNATIVE DATES FOR THIS. PLAINTIFF PROPOSES A FACT 4 5 DISCOVERY CUTOFF IN JANUARY 2012. IS THAT AGREEABLE? MR. MORGAN: OUR CONCERN IS THAT THERE MAY BE FACT 6 7 DISCOVERY THAT WILL -- THAT FACT DISCOVERY WILL TURN 8 DRAMATICALLY, THE EXTENT OF IT WILL TURN DRAMATICALLY DEPENDING 9 ON THE OUTCOME OF THE CLASS CERTIFICATION MOTION. 10 IF CLASS CERTIFICATION WERE TO BE GRANTED, WE WOULD 11 WANT TO PURSUE DISCOVERY OF ABSENT CLASS MEMBERS, AND THE CASE 12 WOULD TAKE ON A DIFFERENT DIMENSION. WE WOULD NOT OPPOSE 13 COMPLETION OF FACT DISCOVERY EARLY PART OF NEXT YEAR IF, IN 14 FACT, THE CASE WERE TO PROCEED AS AN INDIVIDUAL ACTION, BUT IF 15 IT WERE A CLASS ACTION, WE THINK ADDITIONAL TIME WOULD BE 16 REQUIRED. 17 THE COURT: OKAY. I MEAN THESE PARAMETERS ARE NOT 18 ROCKET SCIENCE. YOU GET A CAR TOWED, IT COSTS A CERTAIN AMOUNT 19 OF MONEY, YOU SELL THE CAR. YOU PULL THE CREDIT REPORT. 20 I AM NOT SEEING A HUGE AMOUNT OF FACTUAL COMPLEXITY 21 HERE, BUT I WOULD RATHER SET DATES THAT WORK AS A CLASS ACTION. 22 SO IF YOU THINK YOU NEED MORE THAN TIL NEXT JANUARY TO DO 23 DISCOVERY, HOW MUCH MORE DO YOU THINK YOU'D NEED? 24 MR. MORGAN: ANOTHER SIX MONTHS, ANOTHER FOUR 25 MONTHS.

```
1
                MR. OGILVIE: YOUR HONOR, IF I MIGHT. I LOOKED AT
      THE EXPERIAN ADDITIONS TO THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
 2
 3
      STATEMENT AND NOTICED A DISPARITY BETWEEN WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
     HERE AND WHAT WE HAVE BEEN DISCUSSING OUTSIDE THE PROCEEDINGS.
 4
 5
                AS I UNDERSTAND IT, FINEX IS A COLLECTION AGENCY,
     WASN'T FORMED UNTIL AROUND 2009, SO THERE ISN'T THAT MUCH TO GO
 6
 7
     BACK. AND, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, IT'S A SINGLE OFFICE COLLECTION
 8
     AGENCY SO WE ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT A HUGE CLASS. I THINK THERE
 9
     ARE ENOUGH PEOPLE SO WE HAVE SUFFICIENT NUMBER TO MEET
10
     NUMEROSITY, BUT IT'S NOT A GIGANTIC NATIONWIDE CLASS. AND I
11
     THINK --
                 THE COURT: IT'S ALSO NOT A REAL COMPLEX ISSUE.
12
13
                MR. MORGAN: THE OTHER --
14
                 THE COURT: I WILL ADD TWO MONTHS TO IT. IF YOU,
15
     WITH ALL DUE DILIGENCE, CAN'T COMPLETE IT BY THEN, THEN YOU CAN
16
     SHOW ME WHAT YOU HAVE DONE AND ASK FOR MORE.
17
                MR. MORGAN: YOUR HONOR --
                 THE COURT: CALL IT MARCH 30TH.
18
19
                MR. SUNDHOLM: WE ONLY -- THE CLASS IS CERTIFIED,
20
     THEN WE JUST HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF TIME TO COMPLETE THAT
21
     DISCOVERY WITH --
22
                 THE COURT: YOU DON'T NEED TO BIFURCATE. YOU CAN
23
     START DOING MERITS DISCOVERY IF YOU WANT TO.
24
                MR. SUNDHOLM: OKAY.
25
                 THE COURT: AND THEN PLAINTIFF SUGGESTED DISCLOSING
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

EXPERTS AT THE TIME THE FACT DISCOVERY CLOSES, WHICH WOULD BE MARCH 30TH. AND I DON'T THINK YOU REALLY GAVE ME A DATE FOR EXPERT DISCOVERY CUTOFF. I SHOULD THINK A COUPLE OF MONTHS WOULD DO IT. MR. OGILVIE: THAT WOULD BE FINE. END OF MAY? THE COURT: MAY 31ST. AND THEN THE BIG DISCREPANCY IS PLAINTIFFS WANT TO HAVE ANY SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS HEARD IN MARCH OF 2012 AND DEFENDANTS ARE LOOKING AT JANUARY OF 2014, WHICH IS PRETTY FAR OUTSIDE THE MAINSTREAM. SO, WE COULDN'T DO IT IN MARCH. ALTHOUGH I DON'T KNOW IF YOU NEED YOUR EXPERTS TO DO SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS. YOU MIGHT NOT. MR. OGILVIE: I DON'T SEE A NEED FOR EXPERTS TO DO THE SUMMARY JUDGMENT. WE WOULD PROBABLY JUST BE ASKING FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON LIABILITY AND IT ALL LOOKS TO THE DEBT --THE ACTIONS, DOCUMENTS, INFORMATION FROM THE DEFENDANTS. IT'S NOTHING TO DO WITH INDIVIDUAL CONSUMERS. MR. MORGAN: YOUR HONOR, WE MAY BE MOVING FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT WITH RESPECT TO PORTIONS OF THE PROPOSED CLASS WHICH IS CONSUMERS THAT FALL WITHIN PARTICULAR PERIODS OF TIME, SUCH AS PRIOR TO APRIL OF 2009, WHICH IS A DATE THAT WAS GIVEN SOME SIGNIFICANCE IN YOUR HONOR'S RULING. SO THOSE SORTS OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT DETERMINATIONS

AND PROCEEDINGS WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT WOULD HAVE TO TAKE

PLACE AFTER CERTIFICATION. I THINK WE WOULD NEED TO PUSH IT

OUT JUST A LITTLE BIT TO GIVE TIME FOR THOSE.

THE COURT: MY QUESTION IS WHETHER YOU WOULD NEED

EXPERTS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. BY THE TIME YOU NEED EXPERTS FOR

SUMMARY JUDGMENT, IT'S PROBABLY NOT GOING TO BE SUMMARY

JUDGMENT. SO I AM THINKING YOU PROBABLY DON'T.

EXPERTS ARE SO EXPENSIVE. IF YOU THINK YOU HAVE A CHANCE AT SOME RELIEF ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT, IT'S PROBABLY IN YOUR INTEREST TO DO THE SUMMARY JUDGMENT BEFORE YOU SPEND ALL THE MONEY ON THE EXPERTS. BUT, IF YOU WANT TO, WE CAN MAKE IT LATER. IF YOU WANT TO MAKE IT AFTER THE EXPERT DISCOVERY IS DONE, WE WOULD BE LOOKING AT -- WHAT DID I JUST SAY?

MR. MORGAN: MAY 31ST.

THE COURT: SO WE COULD HAVE THE CASE DISPOSITIVE

MOTIONS HEARD PERHAPS ON THE 28TH OF JUNE AT 2:00 O'CLOCK. AND

DID YOU SAY YOU WERE PLANNING OR MAKING SOME YOURSELF? I THINK

YOU DID, THE PLAINTIFFS DID.

SO LET'S HAVE YOU FILE YOURS SIX WEEKS BEFORE THAT,

AND THEN THE DEFENDANTS WOULD FILE AN OPPOSITION AND INCLUDE IN

THAT OPPOSITION ANY CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT.

MR. SUNDHOLM: WE MAY BE MAKING A MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS WELL IN ADDITION TO EXPERIAN.

THE COURT: RIGHT. BUT IT WOULD JUST BE PART OF
YOUR OPPOSITION AND CROSS-MOTION IN A SINGLE BRIEF. AND
PREFERABLY A SINGLE BRIEF FOR THE TWO OF YOU. IF NECESSARY,
YOU CAN HAVE SEPARATE SECTIONS ADDRESSING THE PARTS THAT DON'T

APPLY TO THE OTHER, BUT I'D RATHER NOT READ REPETITIVE STUFF 1 2 THAT APPLIES TO BOTH OF YOU AND HAVE TO READ IT TWICE. 3 MR. MORGAN: WE UNDERSTAND, YOUR HONOR. I WILL COORDINATE WITH MR. SUNDHOLM ON THOSE SORTS OF THINGS. 4 5 THE COURT: OKAY. SO THEN WE'D HAVE A MOTION FROM THEM, OPPOSITION AND CROSS-MOTION FROM YOU TWO WEEKS LATER, 6 7 OPPOSITION AND REPLY FROM YOU A WEEK AFTER THAT, FINAL REPLY TO 8 THE CROSS-MOTION A WEEK AFTER THAT, AND WITH SIX WEEKS TO DEAL 9 WITH THAT ENDS UP BEING TWO WEEKS BEFORE THE HEARING, WHICH IS 10 THE AMOUNT THAT I NEED. 11 IF, FOR ANY REASON, THE PLAINTIFFS DON'T FILE A 12 MOTION SIX WEEKS BEFORE, THEN YOU WOULD FILE YOURS FIVE WEEKS BEFORE AND THEN WE WOULD BE ON THE REGULAR MOTION SCHEDULE. 13 14 MR. OGILVIE: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. I UNDERSTAND. 15 THE COURT: LET THEM KNOW WHETHER YOU ARE GOING TO 16 OR NOT SO THEY ARE ABLE TO PLAN. 17 MR. OGILVIE: YES. THE COURT: THEN IF WE HAD THAT IN JUNE, THEN WE 18 19 WOULD PROBABLY NEED ANOTHER THREE MONTHS TO GET THE RULING AND 20 GET THE PRETRIAL PREP DONE AND SO FORTH, SO WE WOULD BE LOOKING 21 AT A TRIAL DATE IN LET'S SAY SEPTEMBER, OCTOBER. 22 AND THEN WE HAD A QUESTION ABOUT THE LENGTH OF THE 23 TRIAL. PLAINTIFFS SAY FIVE TO SIX DAYS, DEFENDANTS SAY THREE 24 WEEKS.

MR. SUNDHOLM: DEPENDS ON CLASS CERT.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: WELL, YEAH, BUT EVEN IF CLASS IS CERTIFIED, WE ARE NOT GOING TO BE HEARING DAMAGES TESTIMONY FROM EVERY SINGLE CLASS MEMBER. PRESUMABLY WE WILL WORK OUT SOME SORT OF PROCEDURE WHERE THEY WOULD HAVE TO PROVE UP WHAT THEIR CAR WAS SOLD FOR. I MEAN, THESE THINGS ARE NOT GOING TO BE SUBJECT TO DISPUTE. THE CAR WAS SOLD FOR WHAT IT WAS SOLD FOR. THERE'S GOING TO BE A RECORD WITH THE DMV OF WHAT HAPPENED, AND PAPERWORK AS TO WHAT THE TOWING DEFICIENCY WAS. IT'S NOT LIKE A MEDICAL CASE WHERE IT'S DISPUTED HOW MUCH PAIN AND SUFFERING SOMEONE UNDERWENT OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT. IT'S GOING TO BE PRETTY CUT AND DRIED, AND SOMETHING I THINK WE COULD WORK OUT IN SOME OTHER WAY. THEN THERE'S SOME LEGAL ISSUES ABOUT WHEN SHOULD THEY HAVE KNOWN AND THIS AND THAT, BUT THOSE AREN'T FOR THE 15 JURY. WHAT'S FOR THE JURY? I AM SEEING MORE LIKE FIVE OR SIX DAYS THAN THREE WEEKS, REALLY. I MEAN, WE CAN CALL IT TEN DAYS IF YOU WANT TO. AND MAYBE WE CAN SET IT FOR THE 24TH OF SEPTEMBER FOR A TEN-DAY JURY TRIAL WITH A PRETRIAL CONFERENCE ON SEPTEMBER 11TH AT 2:00. ANYTHING ELSE THEN? MR. OGILVIE: CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE. THE COURT: WE WILL DO THAT ON THE DAY THAT WE SET FOR HEARING THE CASE DISPOSITIVE MOTION.

BY THE WAY, IF YOU DECIDE YOU WANT TO DO THE MOTION

EARLIER, FOR EXAMPLE, MAYBE TO GET IT DONE BEFORE YOU DISCLOSE
YOUR EXPERTS, THAT WOULD BE FINE WITH ME AS LONG AS YOU ARE IN
AGREEMENT. YOU CAN SET IT FOR ANY THURSDAY THAT I'M AVAILABLE
AND JUST USE THE SAME KIND OF BRIEFING INTERVALS. AND THEN IF
YOU DO FILE IT EARLIER, WE WOULD HAVE THE CMC ON THE SAME DATE
AS THE HEARING ON THE SUMMARY JUDGMENT.

MR. OGILVIE: IF WE CAN'T AGREE BECAUSE ONE PARTY
NEEDS MORE TIME TO DO THEIR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION, CAN WE
FILE OURS AND -
THE COURT: NO. UNLESS THERE'S SOME REALLY GOOD
REASON TO FILE AN EARLY ONE BECAUSE THEN THE CASE WOULD SETTLE
IF YOU COULD ONLY RESOLVE SOME LITTLE SIMPLE DISPUTE EARLY ON,
THEN I MIGHT AGREE. OTHERWISE I WOULD RATHER DO IT ALL AT ONCE

IF YOU COULD ONLY RESOLVE SOME LITTLE SIMPLE DISPUTE EARLY ON,

THEN I MIGHT AGREE. OTHERWISE I WOULD RATHER DO IT ALL AT ONCE

INSTEAD OF TWICE. IT MIGHT BE IN BOTH OF YOUR INTERESTS TO DO

IT BEFORE EXPERT DISCLOSURES AND EXPERT DISCOVERY. AND IF

YOU'RE ABLE TO DO THAT, YOU MIGHT WELL WANT TO AGREE TO DO IT

OKAY.

THAT WAY.

MR. OGILVIE: THANK YOU.

MR. SUNDHOLM: THANK YOU.

MR. MORGAN: THANK YOU.

MR. SUNDHOLM: APPRECIATE IT.

THE COURT: THANK YOU.

(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 2:20 P.M.

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, DIANE E. SKILLMAN, OFFICIAL REPORTER FOR THE UNITED STATES COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING PROCEEDINGS IN C-11-0180 CW, HOLMAN V. EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC., ET AL., PAGES NUMBERED 1 THROUGH 12, WERE REPORTED BY ME, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER, AND WERE THEREAFTER TRANSCRIBED UNDER MY DIRECTION INTO TYPEWRITING; THAT THE FOREGOING IS A FULL, COMPLETE AND TRUE RECORD OF SAID PROCEEDINGS AS BOUND BY ME AT THE TIME OF FILING.

THE INTEGRITY OF THE REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION OF SAID TRANSCRIPT MAY BE VOID UPON REMOVAL FROM THE COURT FILE.

/S/ DIANE E. SKILLMAN

DIANE E. SKILLMAN, CSR 4909, RPR, FCRR